arXiv:1202.1217v1 [math.AP] 6 Feb 2012

ON LINEAR WATER WAVE PROBLEM IN THE PRESENCE OF A CRITICALLY SUBMERGED BODY

I.V. KAMOTSKI, V.G. MAZ'YA

Abstract. We study the problem of propagation of linear water waves in a deep water in the presence of a critically submerged body (i.e. the body touching the water surface). Assuming uniqueness of the solution in the energy space, we prove the existence of the solution which satisfies the radiation conditions at infinity as well as, additionally, at the cusp point where the body touches the water surface. This solution is obtained by the limiting absorption procedure.

Next we introduce a relevant scattering matrix and analyse its properties. Under a geometric condition introduced by Maz'ya, see [3], we show that the method of multipliers applies to cusp singularities, thus proving a new important property of the scattering matrix, which may be interpreted as the absence of a version of "full internal reflection". This property also allows us to prove uniqueness and existence of the solution in the functional spaces $H^2_{loc} \cap L^\infty$ and $H^2_{loc} \cap L^p$, $2 < p < 6$, provided a spectral parameter in the boundary conditions on the surface of the water is large enough.

This description of the solution does not rely on the radiation conditions or the limiting absorption principle. This is the first result of this type known to us in the theory of linear wave problems in unbounded domains.

Keywords: Water waves, limiting absorption principle, radiation conditions, uniqueness, domains with cusps.

1. Introduction.

We study the problem of propagation of linear water waves in a domain Ω, which represents water of infinite depth in the presence of a critically submerged body $\widetilde{\Omega}$. Let us describe the domain Ω. We fix a Cartesian system $x = (x_1, x_2)$ with the origin O and consider a bounded domain $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+ = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 > 0\}$ (as usual in the water wave theory, we assume that the axis x_2 points downwards). We assume that $S := \partial\widetilde{\Omega}$ is smooth and touches the water surface $\Gamma := \{x_2 = 0\}$ only at the origin O. Further we define $\Omega := \mathbb{R}^2_+ \setminus \overline{\widetilde{\Omega}}$ and set $\Omega_\tau := \Omega \cap \{|x_1| < \tau,\ x_2 < \tau\}$, where τ is a small positive number. We assume that Ω_τ coincides with the set

$$\{x : |x_1| < \tau,\ 0 < x_2 < \phi(x_1)\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where ϕ is a function from $C^2[-\tau, \tau]$, such that

$$\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\kappa := \phi''(0) > 0\ . \tag{1.3}$$

Moreover, let ϕ be strongly decreasing on $(-\tau, 0)$ and strongly increasing on $(0, \tau)$. The governing equations are the following:

$$\Delta u = f, \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\partial_n u = g_1, \text{ on } S, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\partial_n u - \nu u = g_2, \text{ on } \Gamma, \tag{1.6}$$

where n is the external normal to Ω, $\nu > 0$ is a fixed spectral parameter and f, g_1, g_2 are given functions.

The linear water waves problems for fully submerged bodies in deep water (i.e. when the body does not touch the water surface) had been studied extensively, see e.g. [1]-[3] (see also [13], for more references). The presence of a critically submerged body implies that the domain Ω contains two *external cusps*. The problems in domains with cusps were studied from various points of view in [14]-[39] (see also [40], where more references can be found).

Our main condition on Ω is:

Condition 1. Homogeneous problem (1.4)-(1.6) does not have non-trivial solutions in the energy space $V(\Omega) = \{u : \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 ds < +\infty\}$.

This condition indeed holds for many fully submerged bodies. For example, it is well known, see [3], [13], that the following geometric condition implies the uniqueness for fully submerged bodies:

Condition 2. Let $n(x) = (n_1(x), n_2(x))$ be the unit normal to S, external to Ω. Then we have

$$x_1(x_1^2 - x_2^2)n_1(x) + 2x_1^2 x_2 n_2(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in S. \tag{1.7}$$

One of the results of this paper is that Condition 2 still implies uniqueness for the case of critically submerged bodies; in fact we can say more, see Theorems 4.4, 4.6 and 4.7.

We are interested in existence of solutions which satisfy an *outgoing radiation condition at infinity* (see (2.5) below, for the precise definition):

$$u \sim d^+ e^{-\, i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}, \text{ as } x_1 \to +\infty, \text{ and } \quad u \sim d^- e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}, \text{ as } x_1 \to -\infty, \tag{1.8}$$

where d^+ and d^- are some constants.

If $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is completely submerged (i.e. there is no cusp, $\overline{\widetilde{\Omega}} \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$) the existence of a solution to (1.4)-(1.6) satisfying radiation conditions at infinity follows immediately, under the Condition 1, see [13] and references therein. Our situation is more subtle, because of two reasons: the first reason is purely technical, namely we cannot directly apply the method of [13] which was based on integral equations, due to the presence of the cusps.

The other reason is that, depending on the parameter ν, the solutions may be *not in* $H^1_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$. The situation is in fact even more complicated: there may be many "reasonable" solutions and so we need to select only one. The latter implies that we need to additionally employ new *radiation conditions at the cusp.* To be more precise, we prove, under suitable conditions on f, g_1, g_2 and assuming Condition 1, that there is a unique solution to (1.4)-(1.6) satisfying radiation conditions at infinity and such that, provided $\nu > \kappa/8$,

$$u \sim c_1 x_1^{-1/2+i\sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\kappa}-\frac{1}{4}}}, \; x_1 \to +0, \quad u \sim c_2 |x_1|^{-1/2+i\sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\kappa}-\frac{1}{4}}}, \; x_1 \to -0. \tag{1.9}$$

In the case $\nu < \kappa/8$ we have

$$u \sim c_1 x_1^{-1/2+\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{2\nu}{\kappa}}}, \; x_1 \to +0, \quad u \sim c_2 |x_1|^{-1/2+\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{2\nu}{\kappa}}}, \; x_1 \to -0. \tag{1.10}$$

In the above formulae c_1 and c_2 are some constants. For the case $\nu = \kappa/8$ we have the same expressions as in (1.9) but Condition 1 needs to be modified, see Condition $1'$ in Section 3.

Let us mention that the radiation conditions for the water wave problems in the finite geometry have been studied in [12] and [37].

The presence of radiation conditions both at infinity and at the origin presents new challenges. In particular, we need to employ to this end a non-standard version of *limiting absorption principle*, cf. e.g. [41].

Asymptotic representations (1.9) and (1.10) show, in particular, that if $\nu < \kappa/8$ then the solution is in the space $H^1_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$. In the case $\nu \geq \kappa/8$ the solution does not belong to $H^1_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$, in general. Moreover, in the latter case there are many solutions with similar type of behaviour, we show however the condition (1.9) fixes the unique one.

Expressions (1.8) and (1.9) can be interpreted as "outgoing waves", and their complex conjugates as "incoming waves". This introduces, for $\nu > \kappa/8$, a 4×4 *scattering matrix*, describing the relation between the incoming and outgoing solutions at both infinities but also, at two cusps.

We study properties of this scattering matrix and show that, apart from the standard ones of unitarity and symmetry, it has more subtle "block properties", see Theorem 4.3. The latter ensures in particular that any combination of waves incoming from the infinities will at least partially "scatter in the cusps" (and visa versa). This may be interpreted as the absence of an analogue of full internal reflection (i.e. of "infinity to infinity" or of "cusps to cusps" scattering).

The crucial ingredient for establishing the above properties of the scattering matrix is the uniqueness Theorem 4.4. roughly in the class of arbitrary combinations of the cusp incoming and outgoing waves. (In fact in the class of functions with arbitrary inverse polynomial growth at the cusp). We prove this by showing that, under Condition 2, the method of multipliers see e.g. [3], [13], surprisingly, works also in the presence of functions singular at the cusp.

Moreover, the properties of the scattering matrix allow us to establish the uniqueness and existence results for the problem (1.4)-(1.6) in various functional spaces. We prove that if $\nu > \kappa/8$, and f, g are regular enough and have a compact support, then there exists a unique solution of problem (1.4)-(1.6) in the space $H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Under the same conditions we also establish the existence and uniqueness in the space $H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O) \cap L^p(\Omega)$, $p \in (2, 6)$. The former may be interpreted as a solution with no waves either incoming or outgoing to the cusps (hence bounded), and the latter with no similar waves either from or to infinity (hence localised solution in some sense). In particular these spaces of functions do not differentiate between the incoming and the outgoing waves and the radiation conditions are not employed anymore.

The paper is organised as follows: in Section 2 we consider the problem without a submerged body and derive some useful estimates which are employed in Section 3. There we prove the existence of the solution of (1.4)-(1.6) in the space of functions with the radiation conditions, using the limiting absorption principle. In the last section we introduce the scattering matrix for the problem (1.4)-(1.6), prove some of its properties and establish the uniqueness and existence results for the problem (1.4)-(1.6) in various spaces of functions without radiation conditions.

2. PROBLEM IN $\mathbb{R}^2_+$.

Here we consider an auxiliary problem in the entire half-space:

$$\Delta u = f, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\partial_n u - \nu u = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma. \tag{2.2}$$

We are interested in the solutions which satisfy the following *radiation condition at infinity*: u can be represented as a sum of two outgoing waves and of a function decaying at infinity. To make this more precise we define the *outgoing waves at infinity*, e.g.:

$$u_1^-(x) = \chi(x_1) e^{-i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}, \quad u_2^-(x) = \chi(-x_1) e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}, \tag{2.3}$$

where χ is the cut-off function, such that

$$\chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \chi(t) = 0 \text{ for } t < N, \quad \chi(t) = 1 \text{ for } t > 2N, \tag{2.4}$$

and N is a fixed positive number. (Physically, function u_1^- represents an outgoing wave moving to the right, respectively the outgoing wave u_2^- moves to the left.) Then we say that u satisfies *radiation condition at infinity*, see e.g. [13], if

$$u = c_1 u_1^- + c_2 u_2^- + \tilde{u}, \text{in } \Omega \setminus B_N, \quad |\tilde{u}| + |x||\nabla \tilde{u}| = O(|x|^{-1}), \text{ as } |x| \to \infty, \tag{2.5}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are some constants and $B_N = \{x : \|x\| < N\}$.

The existence of a solution which satisfies radiation conditions (under certain assumptions on f) is well-known, see e.g. [13]. Below we discuss relation of this solution to the limiting absorption principle and derive some useful estimates which we will apply in the next section.

Consider now the problem with a small absorption described by $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon - i\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = f, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\partial_n u_\varepsilon - \nu u_\varepsilon = g_2, \text{ on } \Gamma. \tag{2.7}$$

In order to describe precisely a solution of (2.6), (2.7) we introduce the following spaces. Denote $\langle x_j \rangle = (1 + x_j^2)^{1/2}$, $j = 1, 2$, and let, for real β, γ and $l = 0, 1, ..$, for relevant domain Θ:

$$W^l_{\beta,\gamma}(\Theta) = \{u : \sum_{|\delta| \le l} \int_\Theta e^{2\beta\langle x_1\rangle} \langle x_1\rangle^{2\gamma} \langle x_2\rangle^2 |\nabla^\delta u|^2 dx < \infty\}\ , \tag{2.8}$$

$$\dot{H}^l(\Theta) = \{u : \sum_{1<|\delta| \le l} \int_\Theta |\nabla^\delta u|^2 dx + \int_\Theta \langle x_2\rangle^{-2} |u|^2 dx < \infty\}\ ,\ l \ge 1\,, \tag{2.9}$$

with the corresponding definitions of the norm and of the trace spaces. For the case $\Theta = \mathbb{R}^2_+$ we omit the dependence on the domain in the notations.

Application of the Fourier transform with respect to x_1 and shift of the contour of integration (see e.g. [15], [43]) yields the following result:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\beta > 0$ and ε be such that, $\beta > -Im(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ and $\frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}} > -Im(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2}$. Suppose further that $f \in W^0_{\beta,\gamma}$ and $g_2 \in W^{1/2}_{\beta,\gamma}(\Gamma)$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a unique solution $u_\varepsilon \in W^2_{0,\gamma}$ of the problem (2.6)-(2.7), and the following representation holds*

$$u_\varepsilon = b^\varepsilon_1 U^\varepsilon_1 + b^\varepsilon_2 U^\varepsilon_2 + \tilde{u}_\varepsilon, \text{ where } \tilde{u}_\varepsilon \in W^2_{\beta^*,\gamma}\,,\ \beta^* < \min\{\beta, \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2}}\}. \tag{2.10}$$

Here

$$U^\varepsilon_1(x) = \chi(x_1) e^{-i(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2} x_1 - \nu x_2}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$U^\varepsilon_2(x) = \chi(-x_1) e^{i(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2} x_1 - \nu x_2}, \tag{2.12}$$

$b^\varepsilon_1, b^\varepsilon_2$, are constants, and

$$|b^\varepsilon_1| + |b^\varepsilon_2| + \|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^2_{\beta^*,\gamma}} \le c\big(\|f\|_{W^0_{\beta,\gamma}} + \|g_2\|_{W^{1/2}_{\beta,\gamma}}\big). \tag{2.13}$$

Remark 2.1. Clearly we have $U^0_j = u^-_j$, $j = 1, 2$.

The constant c appearing in (2.13) depends on ε. The estimate which appears in the next lemma overcomes this disadvantage.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 2.1 hold, and additionally let us assume that $\gamma = 1$ and $g_2 = 0$. Then the following estimate holds*

$$|b^\varepsilon_1| + |b^\varepsilon_2| + \|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^2} \le c\|f\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}}, \tag{2.14}$$

where c does not depend on ε.

(Henceforth c is a constant whose value may change from line to line.)

The Theorem 2.2 is proved in the Appendix.

The above statement allows us to pass to the limit in (2.6),(2.7), and we have $b_1^\varepsilon \to b_1$, $b_2^\varepsilon \to b_2$, and $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ converges to $\tilde{u}$ weakly in the space $\dot{H}^2$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$. As a result we obtain a solution u to the problem (2.1),(2.2), which can be represented in the form $u = b_1 u_1^- + b_2 u_2^- + \tilde{u}$.

3. Critically submerged body

Consider now the original problem (1.4)-(1.6) with critically submerged body $\widetilde{\Omega}$. Let us associate with this problem an "energy space": $V = \{u : \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 dS < \infty\}$. Let us notice that

$$\int_\Omega (x_2^2+1)^{-1}|v|^2 \lesssim \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^2 dS. \tag{3.1}$$

(From now on, $\lesssim$ denotes $\leq c$ with a constant c.) This inequality follows from two obvious inequalities:

$$\int_{\Omega\cap\{|x_1|>N\}} \frac{|u|^2}{x_2^2+1} dx \lesssim \int_{\Omega\cap\{|x_1|>N\}} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2}\right|^2 dx + \int_{\{x_2=0,|x_1|>N\}} |u|^2 dx_1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus B_N} \frac{|u|^2}{x_2^2+x_1^2} dx \lesssim \int_{\Omega\setminus B_N} \frac{1}{r}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}\right|^2 d\theta dr + \int_{\{x_2=0,|x_1|>N\}} |u|^2 dx_1, \tag{3.3}$$

and the Friedrichs inequality

$$\int_{\Omega\cap B_N} |u|^2 dx \lesssim \int_{\Omega\cap B_N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\partial(\Omega\cap B_N)} |u^2| dS, \tag{3.4}$$

which is valid for *any* bounded domain, see [44] §4.11.1. Here we assume that constant N from the previous section, is such that $\widetilde{\Omega} \subset B_N := \{x : \|x\| < N\}$.

We are planning to find a solution to the problem (1.4)-(1.6) by employing the principle of limiting absorption. In fact we need an absorption in the equation (1.4) and in the boundary conditions (1.5),(1.6), locally in the neighbourhood of the origin.

Let us fix a cut-off function $\mu \in C_0^\infty(\Gamma)$, such that $\mu(x_1) = 1$, $|x_1| < N$ and $\mu(x_1) = 0$, $|x_1| > 2N$. Consider now the following problem with a small absorption $\varepsilon \geq 0$:

$$\Delta v_\varepsilon - i\varepsilon v_\varepsilon = f, \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\partial_n v_\varepsilon + i\varepsilon v_\varepsilon = g_1, \text{ on } S, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\partial_n v_\varepsilon - (\nu - i\varepsilon\mu)\, v_\varepsilon = g_2, \text{ on } \Gamma, \tag{3.7}$$

and the corresponding energy space :

$$\mathbf{V} := \{u : \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 dS + \int_\Omega |u|^2 dx < \infty\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g_1 \in L_2(S)$, $g_2 \in L_2(\Gamma)$ and $f \in L_2(\Omega)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a unique solution $v_\varepsilon \in \mathbf{V}$ of the problem* (3.5)-(3.7).

Proof. Let us associate with (3.5)-(3.7) a variational problem: Find v_ε such that

$$a_\varepsilon(v_\varepsilon, \varphi) := F(\varphi), \ \forall \varphi \in \mathbf{V}. \tag{3.8}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} a_\varepsilon(v, \varphi) := \int_\Omega \nabla v \cdot \overline{\nabla \varphi}\, dx - \int_\Gamma (\nu - i\varepsilon\mu(x_1))\, v\overline{\varphi}\, dx_1 \\ + i\varepsilon \int_S v\overline{\varphi}\, dS + i\varepsilon \int_\Omega v_\varepsilon \overline{\varphi}\, dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$F(\varphi) := -\int_\Omega f\overline{\varphi}\, dx + \int_\Gamma g_2\overline{\varphi}\, dx_1 + \int_S g_1\overline{\varphi}\, dS. \tag{3.10}$$

Sesquilinear form $a_\varepsilon(\cdot,\cdot)$ is clearly continuous and coercive on $\mathbf{V}$, and F is an anti-linear continuous functional on $\mathbf{V}$, and the application of Lax-Milgram lemma, see e.g. [42], gives us a unique solution v_ε from energy space $\mathbf{V}$. Due to ellipticity, the local estimates give us $v_\varepsilon \in H^2(\Omega \setminus B_\sigma)$ for any positive σ. □

We aim to pass to the limit in (3.8) as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The main difficulty is the absence of compactness of embedding of $H^1(\Omega)$ into $L_2(\partial\Omega)$ and $L_2(\Omega)$ at infinity, and lack of compactness of embedding of $H^1(\Omega)$ into $L_2(\partial\Omega)$ in the neighbourhood of the origin due to the presence of the external quadratic cusps.

To overcome this problem, we need to employ more detailed information about properties of the solutions.

We start form the description of v_ε in right and left neighbourhoods of the origin:

$$\Omega_\tau^+ := \Omega_\tau \cap \{0 < x_1\}, \quad \Omega_\tau^- := \Omega_\tau \cap \{x_1 < 0\}, \Upsilon_\tau^\pm := \partial\Omega_\tau^\pm \cap \partial\Omega\,. \tag{3.11}$$

In order to describe precisely a solution of (3.8), we need to introduce the following weighted Sobolev spaces: let Ξ be a domain and let γ be real, $l = 0, 1, ...$, then we define $\mathcal{W}_\gamma^l(\Xi)$ and $V_\gamma^l(\Xi)$ as the closures of the set $C_0^\infty(\overline{\Xi} \setminus O)$ with respect to the norms

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^l(\Xi)} := \sum_{|\delta|\le l} \int_\Xi |x_1|^{4(\gamma - l + |\delta|)} |\partial_x^\delta u|^2 dx, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\|u\|^2_{V_\gamma^l(\Xi)} := \sum_{|\delta|\le l} \int_\Xi |x_1|^{2(\gamma - l + |\delta|)} |\partial_x^\delta u|^2 dx, \tag{3.13}$$

respectively, where $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ is the usual multi-index. Furthermore, for $l \ge 1$ we define $\mathcal{W}_\gamma^{l-1/2}(\partial\Xi)$ and $V_\gamma^{l-1/2}(\partial\Xi)$ as the trace space for $\mathcal{W}_\gamma^l(\Xi)$ and $V_\gamma^l(\Xi)$ on the boundary $\partial\Xi$.

Finally we define the space

$$\mathcal{V}_\gamma^2(\Omega_\tau^+) = \{u \in V_{2\gamma}^2(\Omega_\tau^+) : P_2 u \in \mathcal{W}_\gamma^2(\Omega_\tau^+)\},$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}_\gamma^2(\Omega_\tau^+)} = \|u\|_{V_{2\gamma}^2(\Omega_\tau^+)} + \|P_2 u\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^2(\Omega_\tau^+)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Here the projection operator P_2 is defined as follows. We represent $u \in V_{2\gamma}^2(\Omega_\tau^+)$ as

$$u(x_1, x_2) = u_1(x_1) + u_2(x_1, x_2), \quad 0 < x_1 < \tau, \quad 0 < x_2 < \phi(x_1), \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$u_1(x_1) = \phi(x_1)^{-1} \int_0^{\phi(x_1)} u(x_1, x_2) dx_2,$$

and define

$$P_1 u := u_1, \quad P_2 u := u - u_1 := u_2.$$

We also define fully analogous space $\mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega^-_\tau)$.

One of characteristic properties of the above scale of spaces is the following. If $u \in \mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega^+_\tau)$ then for

$$\mathcal{L}u := (\Delta - i\varepsilon)u, \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}u := \left\{ (\partial_n + i\varepsilon)u|_{S\cap\Upsilon^+_\tau}, (\partial_n - \nu + i\varepsilon)u|_{\Gamma\cap\Upsilon^+_\tau} \right\} \tag{3.17}$$

we have $\{\mathcal{L}u, \mathcal{B}u\} \in \mathcal{W}^0_\gamma(\Omega^+_\tau) \times \mathcal{W}^{1/2}_\gamma(\Upsilon^+_\tau)$. Denote

$$\lambda_\varepsilon := 2(\nu - 2i\varepsilon)/\kappa - 1/4. \tag{3.18}$$

The following theorem was proved in [37].

Theorem 3.2. *(see* [37], *Theorem 4.3) Let* $\gamma \neq 1/2 \pm 1/2 Im\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}$. *Suppose that* $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega^+_\tau)$ *is a solution of the problem*

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon - i\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = f, \text{ in } \Omega^+_\tau, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\partial_n u_\varepsilon + i\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = g_1, \text{ on } S\cap\Upsilon^+_\tau, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\partial_n u_\varepsilon - (\nu - i\varepsilon)\, u_\varepsilon = g_2, \text{ on } \Gamma\cap\Upsilon^+_\tau, \tag{3.21}$$

where $g := (g_1, g_2)$ *and* $(f, g) \in \mathcal{W}^0_\gamma(\Omega^+_\tau) \times \mathcal{W}^{1/2}_\gamma(\Upsilon^+_\tau)$. *Then for any* ε, *there exists* $\delta_0 > 0$ *such that, for any* $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, *solution* u_ε *satisfies the estimate*

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega^+_{\delta/2})} \leq c \left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^0_\gamma(\Omega^+_\delta)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1/2}_\gamma(\Upsilon^+_\delta)} + \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega^+_\delta \setminus \Omega^+_{\delta/2})} \right). \tag{3.22}$$

Here constant c *is independent of* f, g *and* u_ε.

Next Theorem from [37] describes the structure of the solution.

Theorem 3.3. *Let* γ,γ_1 *be real numbers, and* $\gamma, \gamma_1 \neq 1/2 \pm 1/2 Im\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}$, *and* $(f, g) \in \mathcal{W}^0_{\gamma_1}(\Omega^+_\tau) \times \mathcal{W}^{1/2}_{\gamma_1}(\Upsilon^+_\tau)$. *Suppose that* $v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{W}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ *is a solution of the problem* (3.19)-(3.21). *Then the solution* v_ε *admits representation*

$$v_\varepsilon = c^+ Y^+_\varepsilon + c^- Y^-_\varepsilon + \widetilde{Y}_\varepsilon, \text{ in } \Omega^+_\delta, \tag{3.23}$$

for sufficiently small positive δ. *Here* $\widetilde{Y}_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}^2_{\gamma_1}(\Omega^+_\delta)$, $Y^\pm_\varepsilon$ *are solutions of homogeneous problem* (3.19)-(3.21), *and* $c^\pm$ *are constants.*

Properties of the special solutions $Y^\pm_\varepsilon$ had been described in [37], see Theorem 4.4, which can be reformulated in our context as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that in* (3.18) $\lambda_\varepsilon \neq 0$. *Then there exist solutions* Y_ε^+ *and* Y_ε^- *of the homogeneous problem* (3.19)-(3.21) *in* Ω_δ^+ *for small enough positive* δ, *such that*

$$Y_\varepsilon^\pm(x) = y_1^\pm(x_1,\varepsilon) + y_2^\pm(x,\varepsilon),\ \int_0^{\phi(x_1)} y_2^\pm(x)dx_2 = 0,\ x_1 < \delta, \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$y_1^\pm(x_1,\varepsilon) = x_1^{-\Lambda_\varepsilon^\pm} + \widetilde{y}_1^\pm(x_1,\varepsilon),\ y_1^\pm \in V^2_{2\gamma_\pm}(\Omega_\delta),\ \forall\gamma_\pm > \mp Im\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}/2, \tag{3.25}$$

$$y_2^\pm(x_1,x_2,\varepsilon) = x_1^{2-\Lambda_\varepsilon^\pm} Q_\varepsilon^\pm(z) + \widetilde{y}_2^\pm(x,\varepsilon),\ \widetilde{y}_2^\pm \in \mathcal{W}^2_{\gamma_\pm}(\Omega_\delta),\ \forall\gamma_\pm > \mp Im\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}/2.$$

Here

$$z = \frac{x_2}{\phi(x_1)},$$

$$Q_\varepsilon^\pm(z) = \frac{\kappa}{2}(\nu - i\varepsilon)\left(\frac{(z-1)^2}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\right) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(\kappa\Lambda_\varepsilon^\pm + i\varepsilon\right)\left(\frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\right), \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon^\pm = 1/2 \pm i\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}.$$

Remark 3.1. Let us notice that $Y_\varepsilon^\pm \in \mathcal{V}^2_{\sigma_\pm}(\Omega_\delta^+),\ \ \forall\sigma_\pm > \mp\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}/2 + 1/2$. It will be useful in what follows to use another representation for $Y_\varepsilon^\pm$ instead of (3.24), namely

$$Y_\varepsilon^\pm = \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^\pm + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_\varepsilon^\pm, \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^\pm(x) = |x_1|^{-\Lambda_\varepsilon^\pm} + |x_1|^{2-\Lambda_\varepsilon^\pm} Q_\varepsilon^\pm\left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right), \tag{3.28}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}_\varepsilon^\pm \in \mathcal{V}^2_{\gamma_\pm}(\Omega_\delta^+),\ \ \forall\gamma_\pm > \mp\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}/2.$$

Remark 3.2. In the case $\lambda_\varepsilon = 0$, i.e $\varepsilon = 0$ and $\nu = \kappa/8$, see (3.18), functions $Y_\varepsilon^\pm$ do still exist and belong to $\mathcal{V}^2_{\sigma_\pm}(\Omega_\delta^+),\ \ \forall\sigma_\pm > 1/2$. We have the following representation for them,

$$Y_0^\pm = \mathbf{v}^\pm + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^\pm, \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}^-(x) = |x_1|^{-1/2} + |x_1|^{3/2} Q_0^-\left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right), \tag{3.30}$$

$$\mathbf{v}^+(x) = |x_1|^{-1/2}\ln|x_1| + |x_1|^{3/2}\ln|x_1| Q_0^+\left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right) \tag{3.31}$$

$$+|x_1|^{3/2} Q\left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right),$$

and

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{v}}^\pm \in \mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega_\delta),\ \ \forall\gamma > 0.$$

Here $Q_0^{\pm}$ is defined according to (3.26) ($Q_0^- = Q_0^+$ in this case) and

$$Q(z) = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\left(\frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{1}{6}\right). \tag{3.32}$$

As we have seen, the structure of the solution crucially depends on the relation between ν and $\kappa/8$ (which determines the real part of λ_ε according to (3.18)). Let us start from the most singular case $\nu > \kappa/8$.

Let us check the implications of the above theorems for the solution v_ε of the boundary value problem (3.5)-(3.7), under assumption that the pair

$$(f, g) \in \mathcal{W}_0^0(\Omega_\tau^+) \times \mathcal{W}_0^{1/2}(\Upsilon_\tau^+)$$

and have compact support. It follows from Theorems 3.3, 3.4 and Remark 3.1 that

$$v_\varepsilon = d_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^+ + c_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^- + w_\varepsilon, \quad \text{in } \Omega_\tau^+,$$

where $w_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}_0^2(\Omega_\tau^+)$ and c_ε, d_ε are some constants. Moreover, Theorem 3.4 provides an information about functions $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^+$ and $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^+(x) = O\left(x_1^{-1/2 - i(\lambda_0 - 4i\varepsilon\kappa^{-1})^{1/2}}\right), \; \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-(x) = O\left(x_1^{-1/2 + i(\lambda_0 - 4i\varepsilon\kappa^{-1})^{1/2}}\right), \text{ as } x_1 \to 0,$$

and consequently $d_\varepsilon = 0$ since $v^\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$.

It is easy to see that $w^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}_0^2(\Omega_\tau^+)$ solves the problem:

$$\Delta w^\varepsilon = f - \big(\Delta - i\varepsilon\big)c_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^- + i\varepsilon w^\varepsilon, \text{ in } \Omega_\tau^+, \tag{3.33}$$

$$\partial_n w^\varepsilon = g_1 - \big(\partial_n + i\varepsilon\big)c_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^- - i\varepsilon w^\varepsilon, \text{ on } S \cap \Upsilon_\tau^+, \tag{3.34}$$

$$\big(\partial_n - \nu\big)w^\varepsilon = g_2 - \big(\partial_n - \nu + i\varepsilon\big)c_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^- - i\varepsilon w^\varepsilon, \text{ on } \Gamma \cap \Upsilon_\tau^+. \tag{3.35}$$

Clearly $R_\varepsilon := \big(\big(\Delta - i\varepsilon\big)\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-, \{\big(\partial_n + i\varepsilon\big)\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-, \big(\partial_n - \nu + i\varepsilon\big)\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-\}\big) \in \mathcal{W}_\gamma^0(\Omega_\tau^+) \times \mathcal{W}_\gamma^{1/2}(\Upsilon_\tau^+)$, $\forall\gamma > 2^{-1}\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}$. Moreover, for any $\gamma > 0$, its norm is uniformly bounded with respect to ε, due to explicit expression for $\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-$, see (3.28). Next, applying Theorem 3.2 (with $\varepsilon = 0$) we obtain,

(3.36)

$$\|w_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{V}_\gamma^2(\Omega_{\delta/2}^+)} \le c\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^0(\Omega_\delta^+)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^{1/2}(\Upsilon_\delta^+)} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega_\delta^+\setminus\Omega_{\delta/2}^+)} + |c_\varepsilon| + \varepsilon\|w_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^0(\Omega_\delta^+)}\right),$$

for any $\gamma > 0$ such that $\gamma \neq 1/2 - 1/2\mathrm{Im}\sqrt{\lambda_\varepsilon}$. Consequently for any $\gamma > 0$, $\gamma \neq 1/2$ and for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we have,

$$\|w_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{V}_\gamma^2(\Omega_{\delta/2}^+)} \le c\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^0(\Omega_\delta^+)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^{1/2}(\Upsilon_\delta^+)} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega_\delta^+\setminus\Omega_{\delta/2}^+)} + |c_\varepsilon|\right). \tag{3.37}$$

Let us emphasise that constant c in the above formula is independent $\varepsilon, f, g, c_\varepsilon$ and w_ε.

Following the same reasoning, for v_ε in Ω_τ^- we obtain,

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = b_\varepsilon \mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-(x) + w_\varepsilon(x), \; x \in \Omega_\tau^-, \tag{3.38}$$

where b_ε is some constant, $w_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{V}_\gamma^2(\Omega_\tau^-)$ for any $\gamma > 0$, and

$$\|w_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{V}_\gamma^2(\Omega_{\delta/2}^-)} \le c\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^0(\Omega_\delta^-)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}_\gamma^{1/2}(\Upsilon_\delta^-)} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega_\delta^-\setminus\Omega_{\delta/2}^-)} + |b_\varepsilon|\right), \tag{3.39}$$

where constant c is independent $\varepsilon, f, g, b_\varepsilon$ and w_ε.

Now let us consider v_ε in $\Omega \setminus B_{2N}$. Using Theorem 2.2 (we choose N such that $\operatorname{supp} g \subset (-N, N)$) we obtain,

$$v_\varepsilon = b_1^\varepsilon U_1^\varepsilon + b_2^\varepsilon U_2^\varepsilon + \widetilde{w}_\varepsilon, \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$|b_1^\varepsilon| + |b_2^\varepsilon| + \|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^2(\Omega\setminus B_{2N})} \leq c \left(\|f\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}} + \|w_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega \cap B_N)} \right), \tag{3.41}$$

where constant c in the above formula is independent of ε, f, g and w_ε.

In the intermediate region, say $\Omega \cap B_{2N} \setminus \Omega_{\delta/2}$, we apply the usual elliptic estimates, yielding

(3.42)

$$\|v^\varepsilon\|_{H^2(\Omega\cap B_{2N}\setminus\Omega_{\delta/2})} \leq c \left(\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega\cap B_{4N}\setminus\Omega_{\delta/4})} + \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|v^\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega\cap B_{4N}\setminus\Omega_{\delta/4})} \right),$$

where c obviously does not depend on ε.

Now we are going to combine estimates (3.37),(3.39),(3.41) and (3.42). With this purpose we introduce the following weighted space

$$\mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega) := \{\widetilde{v} \in H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O) : \widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega_\tau), \widetilde{v} \in \dot{H}^2(\Omega \setminus B_{\tau/2})\}, \tag{3.43}$$

$$\|\widetilde{v}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)} := \|\widetilde{v}\|^2_{\mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega_\tau)} + \|\widetilde{v}\|^2_{\dot{H}^2(\Omega\setminus B_{\tau/2})},$$

and a space with "detached asymptotics ":

$$v \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow v = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j U_j^\varepsilon + \widetilde{v}, \quad \widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega), \quad c_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ j = 1, ..4. \tag{3.44}$$

Here U_1^ε and U_2^ε are as introduced in (2.11), and

$$U_3^\varepsilon(x) := \zeta_\tau^+(x)\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-(x), \quad U_4^\varepsilon(x) := \zeta_\tau^-(x)\mathbf{v}_\varepsilon^-(x), \tag{3.45}$$

$\zeta_\tau^\pm \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O)$ are a cut-off functions, such that $\zeta_\tau^\pm = 1$ in $\Omega^\pm_{\tau/2}$ and $\zeta_\tau^\pm = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \Omega^\pm_\tau$.

We will refer to $\mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,0}(\Omega)$ as *space with radiation conditions at the infinity and at the origin.*

Finally, we obtain:

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $\{f, g\} \in \mathcal{W}^0_0(\Omega) \times \mathcal{W}^{1/2}_0(\partial\Omega)$ *and have compact support. Then for any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *the unique solution* $v_\varepsilon \in V$ *of the problem* (3.5)-(3.7) *ensured by Lemma 3.1, belongs to the space* $\mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ *for any* $\gamma > 0$, *in particular*

$$v_\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j^\varepsilon U_j^\varepsilon + \widetilde{v}_\varepsilon. \tag{3.46}$$

Moreover, for any $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$ *and sufficiently small* ε, *the following estimate is valid,*

$$\|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega_\tau)} + \|\widetilde{v}_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^2(\Omega\setminus B_{\tau/2})} \tag{3.47}$$

$$\leq c \left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^0_\gamma(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1/2}_\gamma(\partial\Omega)} + \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega\cap B_{4N}\setminus\Omega_\tau)} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} |c_j^\varepsilon| \right),$$

where c *does not depend on* f, g, c_j *and* ε.

In order to pass to the limit in (3.5)-(3.7), we need to demonstrate that the "extra" quantity which appears on the right hand side of (3.47), namely

$$b_\varepsilon := \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega\cap B_{4N}\setminus\Omega_\tau)} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} |c_j^\varepsilon| \, ,$$

is bounded.

Lemma 3.6. *Under Condition 1, we have*

$$b_\varepsilon \leq c\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^0_\gamma(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1/2}_\gamma(\partial\Omega)}\right), \tag{3.48}$$

where c does not depend on ε, f and g.

Proof. Let us assume that b_ε is not bounded, then there exists a subsequence ε_k, such that $b_{\varepsilon_k} > k\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^0_\gamma(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1/2}_\gamma(\partial\Omega)}\right)$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots.$ Consider a "normalised" subsequence of $\tilde{v}^\varepsilon$, $\tilde{u}^{\varepsilon_k} := \frac{\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon_k}}{b_{\varepsilon_k}}$ (which we still denote $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon$). The following representation is now valid for this subsequence, cf. (3.46):

$$u_\varepsilon = \tilde{u}_\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_j^\varepsilon U_j^\varepsilon,$$

and

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega\cap B_{4N}\setminus\Omega_\tau)} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} |\alpha_j^\varepsilon| = 1. \tag{3.49}$$

Then it follows from (3.47) that we can choose a subsequence (which we still denote u_ε) such that $u_\varepsilon \overset{\text{rad}}{\rightharpoonup} u$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Here the "weak convergence with radiation conditions", denoted $\overset{\text{rad}}{\rightharpoonup}$, is understood in the following sense:

1. u can be represented as

$$u = \tilde{u} + \sum_{j=1}^{4} \alpha_j U_j^0,$$

2. $\alpha_j^\varepsilon \to \alpha_j$, $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ converges weakly to $\tilde{u}$ in $\mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$, for any $\gamma > 0$, $U_j^\varepsilon \to U_j^0$ in $H^2(K)$, where K is any compact set, not containing the singularity point O.

Let us notice that convergence of U_j^ε to U_j^0 follows from explicit expressions for U_1^ε, U_2^ε see (2.11), (2.12), and explicit formulae for U_3^ε, U_4^ε see formulae (3.45) and Remark 3.1 to Theorem 3.4. This allows us to pass to the limit in the problem (3.5)–(3.7) (with u_ε instead of v_ε and $\frac{1}{b_\varepsilon}\{f, g\}$ instead of $\{f, g\}$) and conclude that $u \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,0}(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$ and is a solution of homogeneous problem. Standard trick with integration by parts (see Remark 4.1 below) shows that $\alpha_j = 0$, $j = 1, ..4$, and consequently $u \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$ and in particular $u \in V$, which, due to Condition 1, implies $u = 0$. This contradicts to (3.49), since clearly weak convergence of $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ in $\mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$ and convergence of α_j^ε, $j = 1, ..4$, imply the strong convergence of u_ε in L_2 on compact sets. □

Finally, combining Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.5, and then treating v_ε in the same way as u_ε in Lemma 3.6, we arrive at the following results.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied and $\nu > \kappa/8$. Assume further that $\{f, g\} \in \mathcal{W}_0^0(\Omega) \times \mathcal{W}_0^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and have compact support. Then there is the unique solution of the problem* (1.4)-(1.6)*, $v \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,0}(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$, in particular*

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j U_j^0 + \widetilde{v}, \tag{3.50}$$

and for any $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$, the following estimate is valid,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{4} |c_j| + \|\tilde{v}\|_{\mathcal{V}^2_\gamma(\Omega_\tau)} + \|\tilde{v}\|_{\dot{H}^2(\Omega\setminus B_{\tau/2})} \\ \leq c\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^0_\gamma(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1/2}_\gamma(\partial\Omega)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

where c does not depend on f and g.

Moreover, this solution can be obtained as the result of limiting absorption procedure, namely $v^\varepsilon \overset{rad}{\rightharpoonup} v$ where v_ε is a solution of (3.5)-(3.7)*.*

If $\nu = \kappa/8$ then we apply arguments as above, employing Remark 3.2 instead of Theorem 3.4. However in order to obtain the result of the Theorem 3.7 we need to employ a stronger condition.

Condition 1′. Homogeneous problem (1.4)-(1.6) does not have non-trivial solutions in the space $V'(\Omega) = \{u : u = c^+ x_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta_\tau^+ + c^- |x_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta_\tau^- + \widetilde{u},\ \ c^\pm \in \mathbb{C},\ \int_\Omega |\nabla \widetilde{u}|^2 dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\widetilde{u}|^2 ds < +\infty\}$.

The difference comes from the fact that we are able only to prove, in the analogue of Lemma 3.6, that solution of homogeneous problem from the space with radiation conditions is actually in energy space $V'(\Omega)$. (For the case $\nu > \kappa/8$ we were able to deduce that solution is in $V(\Omega)$.) As a result we conclude,

Corollary 3.8. *If Condition 1′ is satisfied then condition $\nu > \kappa/8$ in Theorem 3.7 can be relaxed to $\nu \geq \kappa/8$.*

If $\nu < \kappa/8$, then there is no need to isolate waves in the cusp. Let us introduce the space with "detached asymptotics" at the infinity only:

$$v \in \mathbb{F}^2_{\gamma,\varepsilon}(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow v = \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j U_j^\varepsilon + \widetilde{v}, \quad \widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega), \tag{3.52}$$

where $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have the following analog of Lemma 3.5:

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\{f, g\} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{1/2}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{W}^{1/2}_{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and have compact support. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the unique solution v_ε of the problem* (3.5)-(3.7)*, delivered by Lemma 3.1, belongs to the space $\mathbb{F}^2_{1/2,\varepsilon}(\Omega)$, in particular*

$$v_\varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j^\varepsilon U_j^\varepsilon + \widetilde{v}_\varepsilon. \tag{3.53}$$

Moreover, for sufficiently small ε, the following estimate is valid,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.54)\qquad & \|\tilde v_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{V}^2_{1/2}(\Omega_\tau)} + \|\tilde v_\varepsilon\|_{\dot H^2(\Omega\setminus B_{\tau/2})} \\ & \le c\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^0_{1/2}(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1/2}_{1/2}(\partial\Omega)} + \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L_2(\Omega\cap B_{4N}\setminus\Omega_\tau)} + \sum_{j=1}^{2}|c_j^\varepsilon|\right),\end{aligned}$$

where c does not depend on ε, f and g.

Employing similar arguments to the above, we arrive at:

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose Condition 1 is satisfied and $\nu < \kappa/8$. Assume further that $\{f, g\} \in \mathcal{W}^0_{1/2}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{W}^{1/2}_{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and have compact support. Then there is the unique solution of the problem* (1.4)-(1.6)*, $v \in \mathbb{F}^2_{1/2,0}(\Omega)$, in particular*

$$(3.55)\qquad v = \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j U_j^0 + \widetilde{v},$$

and the following estimate is valid,

$$\begin{aligned}(3.56)\qquad & \sum_{j=1}^{2}|c_j| + \|\tilde v\|_{\mathcal{V}^2_{1/2}(\Omega_\tau)} + \|\tilde v\|_{\dot H^2(\Omega\setminus B_{\tau/2})} \\ & \le c\left(\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}^0_{1/2}(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1/2}_{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where c does not depend on f and g.

Moreover, this solution can be obtained as the result of limiting absorption procedure, namely $v^\varepsilon \overset{rad}{\rightharpoonup} v$ in the space $\mathbb{F}^2_{1/2,0}$, where v_ε is a solution of (3.5)-(3.7).

Remark 3.3. Now formulae (1.10) follows from Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 .

Finally let us comment on the applicability of Condition 1. It has been proved in [3] that in the case of fully submerged body Condition 2 implies the uniqueness. Various examples of bodies satisfying Condition 2 can be found in [13]. In particular, Condition 2 is satisfied by ellipses whose major axis is parallel to the x_2 axis, see [45].

One can apply the method of [3] for the case of critically submerged body. This method is based on multipliers techniques and integration by parts. So we only need to verify that integration by parts in the neighbourhood of the origin is justified. For the case $\nu > \kappa/8$, this can easily be seen, as a solution of homogeneous problem (1.4)-(1.6), which is in V, belongs to the space $\mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any γ, see Theorem 3.3. This means that the solution decays quickly (in fact exponentially) in the neighbourhood of the origin and the integration by parts is justified. In other words, for the case $\nu > \kappa/8$ Condition 2 implies Condition 1. The same remains true, if $\nu < \kappa/8$. Then solution of homogeneous problem (1.4)-(1.6), which is in V, belongs to the space $\mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for some $\gamma < 1/2$, see Theorem 3.3 and analysis of possible singularities shows that we still can integrate by parts, see see Theorem 4.4 below for the details.

The critical case $\nu = \kappa/8$,where we need to check Condition 1$'$, is more subtle, but still one can prove that Condition 2 implies Condition 1$'$, see Remark 4.5.

4. SCATTERING MATRIX AND ITS PROPERTIES

Let us define the usual scattering matrix for $\nu < \kappa/8$. In this case we need to employ only waves at the infinity. First we need to introduce "incoming waves":

$$u_1^+(x) = \chi(x_1)e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}, \quad u_2^+(x) = \chi(-x_1)e^{-i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}, \tag{4.1}$$

compare the above with (2.3).

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\nu < \kappa/8$ and Condition 1 is satisfied. Then there exist two linearly independent solutions of homogeneous problem* (1.4)-(1.6), *$\eta_j, j = 1, 2$, such that*

$$\eta_j = u_j^+ + \sum_{n=1}^{2} s_{jn} u_n^- + \tilde{\eta}_j, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}_j \in \mathcal{H}^2_{1/2}$. Condition 4.2 determines η_j uniquely. The scattering matrix $s = (s_{jn})_{j,n=1}^2$ is unitary and $s_{jn} = s_{nj}$, $j, n = 1, 2$.

Proof. The arguments are standard, see e.g. [43]. Consider for example case $j = 1$. We are looking for η_1 in the form,

$$\eta_1(x) = e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2} + \xi_1(x), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\xi_1(x)$ is a solution of the problem (1.4)-(1.6) with $f = 0, g_2 = 0$ and $g_1 = -\partial_n e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}|_S$. The solution to this problem exists in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_{1/2,0}(\Omega)$, due to Theorem 3.10. In particular

$$\xi_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j U_j^0 + \widetilde{v}, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}^2_{1/2}(\Omega)$. Since $U_j^0 = u_j^-$ (compare (2.11),(2.12) with (2.3)), we see that $\eta_1(x) = e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2} + \xi_1(x)$ satisfies (4.2) with $s_{11} = c_1$ and $s_{12} = c_2 + 1$. Clearly this solution is unique. The same argument applies to η_2.

Next we verify the properties of scattering matrix s. We know that η_j solves the problem

$$\Delta \eta_j = 0, \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\partial_n \eta_j = 0, \text{ on } S, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\partial_n \eta_j = \nu \eta_j, \text{ on } \Gamma. \tag{4.7}$$

Let us multiply (4.5) by $\overline{\eta_n}$, $n = 1, 2$, integrate over $\Omega \cap \{|x_1| < M\}$ and integrate by parts twice (which is justified since $\eta_j \in \mathcal{H}^2_{1/2}(\Omega_\tau)$ and due to conditions (2.5)). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = &\int_0^{+\infty} \overline{\eta_n} \partial_{x_1} \eta_j|_{x_1 = M} dx_2 - \int_0^{+\infty} \overline{\eta_n} \partial_{x_1} \eta_j|_{x_1 = -M} dx_2 \\ &- \int_0^{+\infty} \eta_j \overline{\partial_{x_1} \eta_j}|_{x_1 = M} dx_2 + \int_0^{+\infty} \eta_j \overline{\partial_{x_1} \eta_j}|_{x_1 = -M} dx_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Next using (4.2) and (4.1), we pass to the limit as $M \to +\infty$, and obtain

$$0 = \delta_{jn} - \sum_{p=1}^{2} \overline{s_{np}} s_{jp}. \tag{4.9}$$

So s is indeed unitary.

Now the symmetry property $s_{jn} = s_{nj}$, $j, n = 1, 2$ follows easily, since (4.5)-(4.7) is a problem with real coefficients, s is unitary and

$$u_j^- = \overline{u_j^+}, \quad j = 1, 2. \tag{4.10}$$

$\square$

In the case $\nu > \kappa/8$, there are *four* linearly independent solutions to homogeneous problem (1.4)-(1.6), viewed as solutions of a scattering problem. First we renormalise functions U_j^0 , $j = 3, 4$ (see (3.28) and (3.45)):

$$\begin{gathered} u_3^-(x) := (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_3^0(x) = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_0^-(x) \zeta_\tau^+ = \\ (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |x_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\omega} \left(1 + x_1^2 Q_0^- \left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right)\right) \zeta_\tau^+, \end{gathered} \tag{4.11}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered} u_4^-(x) := (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_4^0(x) = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_0^-(x) \zeta_\tau^- = \\ (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |x_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}+i\omega} \left(1 + x_1^2 Q_0^- \left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right)\right) \zeta_\tau^-, \end{gathered} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\omega := \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{4}}. \tag{4.13}$$

Similarly to (2.3) we will refer to these functions as *outgoing waves in the cusps.* Namely u_3^- is the outgoing wave in the right cusp Ω_τ^+ and u_4^- is the outgoing wave in the left cusp Ω_τ^-. In a similar way we introduce *incoming waves in the cusps*:

$$u_3^+(x) := (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_0^+(x) \zeta_\tau^+ = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_1^{-\frac{1}{2}-i\omega} \left(1 + x_1^2 Q_0^+ \left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right)\right) \zeta_\tau^+(x), \tag{4.14}$$

$$u_4^+(x) := (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{v}_0^+(x) \zeta_\tau^- = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} |x_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}-i\omega} \left(1 + x_1^2 Q_0^+ \left(x_2/\phi(x_1)\right)\right) \zeta_\tau^-(x), \tag{4.15}$$

see (3.28).

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $\nu > \kappa/8$ and Condition 1 is satisfied. Then there exist four linearly independent solutions of homogeneous problem* (1.4)-(1.6), *$\eta_j, j = 1, .., 4$, such that*

$$\eta_j = u_j^+ + \sum_{n=1}^{4} S_{jn} u_n^- + \tilde{\eta}_j, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}_j \in \mathcal{H}_\gamma^2$, for all $\gamma > 0$. Condition (4.16) *determines η_j uniquely. The scattering matrix $S = (S_{jn})_{j,n=1}^4$ is unitary and $S_{jn} = S_{jn}, \quad j, n = 1, .., 4$.*

Proof. The proof of the existence of η_1, η_2 follows the arguments of Theorem 4.1, with reference to Theorem 3.7 instead of Theorem 3.10. As for η_3 and η_4, we need to take some more care. Consider for example η_3. Let us look for η_3 in the form

$$\eta_3 = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Y_0^+ \zeta_\delta^+ + \xi_3, \tag{4.17}$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}^+$ is the function described in Theorem 3.4, δ is sufficiently small and $\xi_3(x)$ is a solution of the problem (1.4)-(1.6) with $f = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Delta \left(Y_0^+ \zeta_\delta^+ \right)$ and

$$g_1 = -(\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_n \left(Y_0^+ \zeta_\delta^+ \right) |_S\,, \quad g_2 = -(\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_n - \nu) \left(Y_0^+ \zeta_\delta^+ \right) |_\Gamma\,.$$

Since Y_0^+ is a solution of homogeneous problem (3.19)-(3.21) (with $\varepsilon = 0$) for small enough δ, we conclude that $(f, g) \in \mathcal{W}_\gamma^0(\Omega) \times \mathcal{W}_\gamma^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and Theorem 3.7 applies. As a result there is a solution of the problem for ξ_3 in the space $\mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,0}(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$. In particular

$$\xi_3 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} c_j U_j^0 + \widetilde{v}, \tag{4.18}$$

where $\widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$. Since $u_j^- = U_j^0$, $j = 1, 2$ and $u_p^- = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_p^0$, $p = 3, 4$ we see that $\eta_3(x) = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} Y_0^+ \zeta_\delta^+ + \xi_3$ satisfies (4.16) with $s_{3j} = c_j$, $j = 1, 2$ and $s_{3p} = (\omega\kappa)^{-\frac{1}{2}} c_p$, $p = 1, 2$. Clearly this solution is unique. The same argument applies to η_4.

Next we verify the properties of scattering matrix S. We know that η_j solves the problem

$$\Delta \eta_j = 0, \text{ in } \Omega, \tag{4.19}$$

$$\partial_n \eta_j = 0, \text{ on } S, \tag{4.20}$$

$$\partial_n \eta_j = \nu \eta_j, \text{ on } \Gamma. \tag{4.21}$$

Let us multiply (4.19) by $\overline{\eta_n}$, $n = 1, .., 4$, integrate over $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta \cap \{|x_1| < M\}$ and integrate by parts twice (which is justified due to conditions (2.5)). As a result we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = &\int_0^{+\infty} \overline{\eta_n} \partial_{x_1} \eta_j |_{x_1 = M} dx_2 - \int_0^{+\infty} \overline{\eta_n} \partial_{x_1} \eta_j |_{x_1 = -M} dx_2 \\ &- \int_0^{+\infty} \eta_j \overline{\partial_{x_1} \eta_j} |_{x_1 = M} dx_2 + \int_0^{+\infty} \eta_j \overline{\partial_{x_1} \eta_j} |_{x_1 = -M} dx_2 \\ &- \int_0^{\phi(\delta)} \overline{\eta_n} \partial_{x_1} \eta_j |_{x_1 = \delta} dx_2 + \int_0^{\phi(-\delta)} \overline{\eta_n} \partial_{x_1} \eta_j |_{x_1 = -\delta} dx_2 \\ &+ \int_0^{\phi(\delta)} \eta_j \overline{\partial_{x_1} \eta_j} |_{x_1 = \delta} dx_2 - \int_0^{\phi(-\delta)} \eta_j \overline{\partial_{x_1} \eta_j} |_{x_1 = -\delta} dx_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Passing to the limits as $M \to +\infty$ and $\delta \to 0$, and using (4.16), (4.11), (4.12), (4.14), (4.15), (2.3) and (4.1) we obtain

$$0 = i\delta_{jn} - i \sum_{p=1}^{4} \overline{S_{np}} S_{jp}, \tag{4.23}$$

so S is unitary. The property $S_{jn} = S_{jn}, \quad j, n = 1, .., 4$ can be verified in the same way as in Theorem 4.1. $\square$

Remark 4.1. This type of argument with integration by parts implies the fact (which we used in Lemma 3.6) that if $v \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,0}$ for all $\gamma > 0$ and is a solution of homogeneous problem (1.4)-(1.6), then $v \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma$ for all $\gamma > 0$.

Next we describe an important non-standard property of the scattering matrix S. First we decompose S as follows:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{(1,1)} & S_{(1,2)} \\ S_{(2,1)} & S_{(2,2)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.24}$$

where

$$S_{(1,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,1} & S_{1,2} \\ S_{2,1} & S_{2,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,3} & S_{1,4} \\ S_{2,3} & S_{2,4} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$S_{(2,1)} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{3,1} & S_{3,2} \\ S_{4,1} & S_{4,2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{(2,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{3,3} & S_{3,4} \\ S_{4,3} & S_{4,4} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.26}$$

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose Condition 2 is satisfied and* $\nu > \kappa/8$. *Then* $\det S_{(1,2)} \neq 0$, $\det S_{(2,1)} \neq 0$ *and absolute values of eigenvalues of the matrices* $S_{(2,2)}$ *and* $S_{(1,1)}$ *are strictly less then 1.*

This theorem will follow from the following uniqueness result.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose Condition 2 is satisfied and* $\nu > \kappa/8$. *If* v *is a solution of the homogeneous problem* (1.4)-(1.6) *and* $v \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ *for some* γ, *then* $v \equiv 0$.

Proof. Due to Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 we have

$$v = \sum_{j=3}^{4} c_j^+ u_j^+ + \sum_{j=3}^{4} c_j^- u_j^- + \widetilde{v}, \tag{4.27}$$

where $c_j^\pm$, $j = 3, 4$ are some constants and $\widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$. Let us consider the real part of v, which we denote u. It is a solution of the homogeneous problem (1.4)-(1.6) and has same structure as (4.27).

We start by recalling the method of multipliers of [3], [13], where it was applied for the case of fully submerged bodies. Let $Z = (Z_1, Z_2)$ be a real vector field in Ω with at most linear growth as $|x| \to \infty$ and $Z_2(x_1, 0) = 0$ for all x_1, and H be a constant. The following identity, which can be found in [13], p.71, can be verified directly:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\{(Z \cdot \nabla u + Hu)\Delta u\} &= 2\nabla \cdot \{(Z \cdot \nabla u + Hu)\nabla u\} \\ &+ (Q\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u - \nabla \cdot \left(|\nabla u|^2 Z\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Here Q is a 2×2 matrix with components $Q_{ij} = (\nabla \cdot Z - 2H)\delta_{ij} - (\partial_i Z_j + \partial_j Z_i)$, $i, j = 1, 2$. Let us choose small positive $\delta < \tau$, integrate (4.28) over $\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta$ and integrate by parts:

$$0 = 2\int_{\partial\Omega \setminus \partial\Omega_\delta} (Z \cdot \nabla u + Hu)\partial_n u ds + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta} (Q\nabla u) \cdot \nabla u dx - \tag{4.29}$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} |\nabla u|^2 (Z\cdot n) ds + A_\delta^+ + A_\delta^- \,,$$

where

$$A_\delta^\pm = \mp 2\int_0^{\phi(\pm\delta)} (Z\cdot\nabla u + Hu)\partial_{x_1} u|_{x_1=\pm\delta} dx_2 \pm \int_0^{\phi(\pm\delta)} |\nabla u|^2 Z_1|_{x_1=\pm\delta} dx_2\,. \tag{4.30}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{gathered}
0 = 2\int_{\Gamma\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} (Z\cdot\nabla u + Hu)(\partial_n - \nu) u dx_1 + 2\nu\int_{\Gamma\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} (Z\cdot\nabla u + Hu) u dx_1 \\
+2\int_{S\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} (Z\cdot\nabla u + Hu)\partial_n u ds + \\
+\int_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_\delta} (Q\nabla u)\cdot\nabla u dx - \int_{S\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} |\nabla u|^2 (Z\cdot n) ds + A_\delta^+ + A_\delta^- = \\
2\nu\int_{\Gamma\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} (Z_1\partial_{x_1} u + Hu) u dx_1 + \\
\int_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_\delta} (Q\nabla u)\cdot\nabla u dx - \int_{S\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} |\nabla u|^2 (Z\cdot n) ds + A_\delta^+ + A_\delta^- = \\
\nu\int_{\Gamma\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} (2H - \partial_{x_1} Z_1)|u|^2 dx_1 +
\end{gathered}$$

$$\int_{\Omega\setminus\Omega_\delta} (Q\nabla u)\cdot\nabla u dx - \int_{S\setminus\partial\Omega_\delta} |\nabla u|^2 (Z\cdot n) ds + A_\delta^+ + A_\delta^- + B_\delta^+ + B_\delta^-. \tag{4.31}$$

Here

$$B_\delta^\pm = \mp\nu Z_1(\pm\delta, 0) u^2(\pm\delta, 0). \tag{4.32}$$

Following [13] (see p.76), we choose

$$Z(x_1, x_2) = \left(x_1 \frac{x_1^2 - x_2^2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2}, \frac{2x_1^2 x_2}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad H = 1/2. \tag{4.33}$$

Then, in particular, the first term in the right hand side of (4.31) is equal to zero. Moreover it was also verified in [13] (see p.76) that the quadratic form $(Q\nabla u)\cdot\nabla u$ is non–positive. In fact it has been shown in [13], and can be verified by direct inspection, that

$$(Q\nabla u)\cdot\nabla u = -\left(2x_1 x_2 \partial_{x_1} u + (x_2^2 - x_1^2)\partial_{x_2} u\right)^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{-2}. \tag{4.34}$$

Finally, Condition 2 insurers that

$$(Z\cdot n) \geq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad S. \tag{4.35}$$

Now we need to investigate the behaviour of $A_\delta^\pm + B_\delta^\pm$ as $\delta\to 0$. Due to (4.27), we have

$$u(x) = a^+ x_1^{-1/2}\cos(\omega\ln x_1 + b^+) + O(x_1^{1/2}), \quad x\in\Omega_\tau^+, \quad x_1\to +0, \tag{4.36}$$

and

$$u(x) = a^-(-x_1)^{-1/2}\cos(\omega\ln(-x_1) + b^-) + O(x_1^{1/2}), \quad x\in\Omega_\tau^-, \quad x_1\to -0,$$

where $a^{\pm}$ and $b^{\pm}$ are some real constants. Consider for definiteness $A_\delta^+ + B_\delta^+$. Then we employ Theorem 3.4 and obtain

$$\partial_{x_1} u(x) = a^+ x_1^{-3/2} \left(-2^{-1}\cos(\omega \ln x_1 + b) - \omega \sin(\omega \ln x_1 + b)\right)$$
$$+O(x_1^{-1/2}),\ x \in \Omega_\tau^+, \quad x_1 \to +0,$$
$$\partial_{x_2} u(x) = O(x_1^{-1/2}),\ x \in \Omega_\tau^+, \quad x_1 \to +0.$$

Moreover, we have from (4.33)

$$Z_1(x) = x_1 + O(x_1^2),\ x \in \Omega_\tau^+, \quad x_1 \to +0,$$

and

$$Z_2(x) = O(x_1^2),\ x \in \Omega_\tau^+, \quad x_1 \to +0,$$

and consequently

$$\left(\left(\partial_{x_1} u(x)\right)^2 + \left(\partial_{x_2}(x) u\right)^2\right) Z_1(x) - 2(Z(x)\cdot \nabla u(x) + Hu(x))\partial_{x_1} u(x)$$
$$= -\partial_{x_1} u(x)\left(x_1 \partial_{x_1} u(x) + u(x)\right) + O(x_1^{-1})$$
$$= -(a^+)^2 x_1^{-2}\left(-2^{-1}\cos(\omega \ln x_1 + b) - \omega\sin(\omega \ln x_1 + b)\right) \times$$
$$\left(2^{-1}\cos(\omega \ln x_1 + b) - \omega \sin(\omega \ln x_1 + b)\right) + O(x_1^{-1})$$
$$= (a^+)^2 x_1^{-2}\left\{4^{-1}\cos^2(\omega \ln x_1 + b^+) - \omega^2 \sin^2(\omega \ln x_1 + b^+)\right\} + O(x_1^{-1})$$
$$= (a^+)^2 x_1^{-2}\left\{\left(4^{-1} + \omega^2\right)\cos^2(\omega \ln x_1 + b^+) - \omega^2\right\} + O(x_1^{-1}), \quad x \in \Omega_\tau^+, \quad x_1 \to +0.$$

Next, using

$$\phi(x_1) = \kappa x_1^2/2 + O(x_1^3),$$

we get

$$A_\delta^+ = 2^{-1}(a^+)^2 \kappa \left\{\left(4^{-1} + \omega^2\right)\cos^2(\omega \ln \delta + b^+) - \omega^2\right\} + O(\delta), \quad \text{as } \delta \to +0. \tag{4.37}$$

For B_δ^+, we have, using (4.36),

$$B_\delta^+ = -\nu (a^+)^2 \cos^2(\omega \ln \delta + b^+) + O(\delta), \quad \text{as } \delta \to +0.$$

Finally using (4.13), we obtain

$$A_\delta^+ + B_\delta^+ = -2^{-1}(a^+)^2 \kappa \omega^2 + O(\delta), \quad \text{as } \delta \to +0. \tag{4.38}$$

In the same way we derive,

$$A_\delta^- + B_\delta^- = -2^{-1}(a^-)^2 \kappa \omega^2 + O(\delta), \quad \text{as } \delta \to +0. \tag{4.39}$$

Now we can pass to the limit in (4.31), as $\delta \to 0$. We get

$$0 = \int_\Omega (Q\nabla u)\cdot \nabla u dx - \int_S |\nabla u|^2 (Z\cdot n) ds - 2^{-1}\kappa\omega^2\left((a^-)^2 + (a^+)^2\right). \tag{4.40}$$

As result we conclude via (4.34) and (4.35) that $u \equiv 0$.

Applying the same arguments to imaginary part of v we obtain the same result. □

Corollary 4.5. *It follows from the proof of Theorem 4.4 that Condition 2 implies Condition 1′.*

Now Theorem 4.3 easily follows.

Proof. (of Theorem 4.3) It follows from the properties of scattering matrix S, see Theorem 4.2, that it is enough to prove only one of the claims of the Theorem 4.3. Let us prove that $\det S_{(2,1)}$ is not zero. If it is not so, then there is a non zero row $a = (a_1, a_2)$ such that $aS_{(2,1)} = (0, 0)$ and consequently the function $u = a_1\eta_3 + a_2\eta_4$ is not identically zero and satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4.4. This delivers a contradiction. □

Theorem 4.3 allows us to formulate other existence and uniqueness results.

Theorem 4.6. *Suppose Condition 2 is satisfied and* $\nu > \kappa/8$. *Assume further that* $\{f, g\} \in L_2(\Omega)\times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ *and have compact supports separated from the origin. Then there is the unique solution of the problem* (1.4)-(1.6), u, *in the space* $H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})\cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. *Moreover,*

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j^+ u_j^+ + \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j^- u_j^- + \widetilde{u}, \tag{4.41}$$

where $\widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$, *for any* γ *and* $c_j^\pm$, $j = 1, 2$ *are constants.*

Proof. Theorem 3.7 delivers us the unique solution of the problem (1.4)-(1.6), $v \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,0}(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$, i.e.

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{4} d_j u_j^- + \widetilde{v}, \tag{4.42}$$

where $\widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for all $\gamma > 0$. Consider the function

$$u := v - a_1\eta_1 - a_2\eta_2, \tag{4.43}$$

where a row $a = (a_1, a_2)$ solves

$$aS_{(1,2)} = (d_3, d_4).$$

The solution exists due to Theorem 4.3. It is easy to see that u defined by (4.43) is a solution of (1.4)-(1.6) and has the structure (4.41) with $\widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$. The inclusion $\widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$, for $\gamma \le 0$, follows from Theorems 3.3 and 3.4. Clearly $u \in H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Let us discus uniqueness. Consider some $u \in H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ which is a solution of (1.4)-(1.6). If we additionally know that representation (4.41) is valid for u, then uniqueness follows immediately from Theorem 4.4.

Let us prove that representation (4.41) is valid. It follows from Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 that $u \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega_\tau)$ for any γ, since f and g have support separated from the origin and $u \in H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega})$. Now we need to show that the representation (4.41) is valid at infinity. But this is the same as to prove that any solution $v \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ of (2.1),(2.2) with compactly supported $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ can be represented as:

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j^+ u_j^+ + \sum_{j=1}^{2} c_j^- u_j^- + \widetilde{v}, \tag{4.44}$$

where $\widetilde{v} \in \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. Consider solution v_1 of the problem (2.1),(2.2) in the space with radiation conditions. Clearly representation (4.44) is valid for v_1 (in fact coefficients next to outgoing waves are zero) and $v_1 \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. Then $w := v - v_1 \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ is a solution of (2.1),(2.2) with zero right hand side and consequently w is a linear combination of functions $e^{-i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}$ and $e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}$. We see that representation (4.44) is valid for w, v_1 and consequently is valid for v. This completes the proof. □

In a similar way we prove the next result.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose Condition 2 is satisfied and $\nu > \kappa/8$. Assume further that $\{f, g\} \in L_2(\Omega) \times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)$ and have compact support separated from the origin. Then there is a unique solution of the problem* (1.4)-(1.6) *w in the space*

$$H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O) \cap L^p(\Omega), \quad p \in (2, 6).$$

Moreover, $w \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma$, for any $\gamma > 1/2$ and can be represented as

$$w = \sum_{j=3}^{4} c_j^+ u_j^+ + \sum_{j=3}^{4} c_j^- u_j^- + \widetilde{w}, \tag{4.45}$$

where $\widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$ and $c_j^\pm$, $j = 1, 2$, are some constants.

Proof. Theorem 3.7 delivers us the unique solution of the problem (1.4)-(1.6), $v \in \mathbb{H}^2_{\gamma,0}(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$, i.e.

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^{4} d_j u_j^- + \widetilde{v}, \tag{4.46}$$

where $\widetilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$. Consider the function

$$w := v - a_1\eta_3 - a_2\eta_4, \tag{4.47}$$

where the row $a = (a_1, a_2)$ solves

$$aS_{(2,1)} = (d_1, d_2).$$

The solution exists due to Theorems 4.3. Then it follows from 4.2 that w defined by (4.47) is a solution of (1.4)-(1.6) and has the structure (4.45) with $\widetilde{w} \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma > 0$. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that $w \in L_p(\Omega_\tau)$ for any $p < 6$. On the other hand, (2.5) implies $w \in L_p(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\tau)$ for any $p > 2$. Consequently $w \in H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O) \cap L^p(\Omega)$, $p \in (2, 6)$.

Let us discus uniqueness. Consider some $w \in H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O) \cap L^p(\Omega)$, $p \in (2, 6)$ which is a solution of (1.4)-(1.6). If we additionally know that representation (4.45) is valid for w, then uniqueness follows immediately from Theorem 4.4.

Let us prove that representation (4.45) is valid. It is easy to see, that since $w \in L_p(\Omega_\tau)$ for any $p < 6$ and is a solution of (1.4)-(1.6) with f and g having support separated from the origin, $w \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega)$ for some large γ. Then, employing Theorems 3.3 and 3.4, we conclude that $w \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma(\Omega_\tau)$ for any $\gamma > 1/2$ and representation (4.45) is valid in the neighbourhood of the origin.

Let us consider representation (4.45) at infinity. We need to prove that if $w \in H^2_{loc}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus O) \cap L^p(\Omega)$, $p \in (2, 6)$ then $w \in \dot{H}^2(\Omega \setminus \Omega_\tau)$. However this is the same as to prove that any solution $u \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$, $p \in (2,6)$, of (2.1),(2.2) with compactly supported $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ is in fact in $\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. Consider solution u_1 of the problem (2.1),(2.2) which has been obtained in Section 2, see discussion following Theorem 2.2. Clearly u_1 can be represented as

$$u_1 = c_1 u_1^- + c_2 u_2^- + \widetilde{u}_1,$$

where $\widetilde{u}_1 \in \dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ and c_1, c_2 are some constants. Moreover it follows from (2.5) that $u_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$, $p \in (2,6)$. Then $w := u - u_1 \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ is a solution of (2.1),(2.2) with zero right hand side, and consequently w is a linear combination of functions $e^{-i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}$ and $e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}$. As result, we see that

$$u = c_1 u_1^- + c_2 u_2^- + b_1 e^{-i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2} + b_2 e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2} + \widetilde{u}_1,$$

where b_1 and b_2 are some constants. We know that $u \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2_+) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$, $p \in (2,6)$, on the other hand functions $u_1^-(x)$, $u_2^-(x)$, $e^{-i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}$ and $e^{i\nu x_1 - \nu x_2}$ do not belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$, $p \in (2,6)$ and are linearly independent. Consequently u coincides with $\widetilde{u}_1$ which is in $\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$. This ends the prove. □

Solutions u and w, delivered by Theorems 4.6 and 4.7, do not satisfy radiation conditions in general, and cannot be obtained as a result of limiting absorption procedure. However their description is simple, u is bounded and w decays at the infinity. It is worth emphasising that these results rely on Condition 2.

We conclude with brief remarks on how one can define a scattering matrix for the case $\nu = \kappa/8$. We follow constructions which appeared in [43] for domains with conical points and [46]-[48] for periodic media. First we introduce incoming and outgoing waves in the cusps, for the *threshold* case $\nu = \kappa/8$:

$$u_3^\pm := \left(\mathbf{v}^+ \pm i\mathbf{v}^-\right)\zeta_\tau^+, \tag{4.48}$$

$$u_4^\pm := \left(\mathbf{v}^+ \mp i\mathbf{v}^-\right)\zeta_\tau^-, \tag{4.49}$$

see (3.30) and (3.31). Waves $u_1^\pm$ and $u_2^\pm$ are defined according to (2.3) and (4.1). Arguing in the same way as for the case $\nu > \kappa/8$, we obtain

Theorem 4.8. *Suppose that $\nu = \kappa/8$ and Condition 1′ is satisfied. Then there exist four linearly independent solutions of homogeneous problem* (1.4)-(1.6), $\eta_j, j = 1, .., 4$, *such that*

$$\eta_j = u_j^+ + \sum_{n=1}^{4} a_{jn} u_n^- + \tilde{\eta}_j, \tag{4.50}$$

where $\tilde{\eta}_j \in \mathcal{H}^2_\gamma$, for any $\gamma > 0$. Condition (4.50) *determines η_j uniquely.*

Appendix A. Appendix

In this appendix we prove Theorem 2.2 and obtain some results which may be of their own interest.

It is clear that one needs to prove the estimate (2.14) only for small ε, therefore we will assume that $\varepsilon \leq 2\beta\nu$. The desired estimate for the coefficients b_1^ε and b_2^ε follows from the explicit formulae, by multiplying (2.6) by the solutions of the homogeneous problem (2.6), (2.7) and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. As a result, upon a straightforward integration by part we get

$$b_1^\varepsilon = i\nu(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} f(x) e^{i(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2} x_1 - \nu x_2} dx, \tag{A.1}$$

$$b_2^\varepsilon = i\nu(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} f(x) e^{-i(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2} x_1 - \nu x_2} dx. \tag{A.2}$$

The remainder $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \in W^2_{\beta^*,1}$ (see (2.10)) solves the problem

$$\Delta \widetilde{u}_\varepsilon - i\varepsilon \tilde{u}_\varepsilon = F, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \tag{A.3}$$

$$\partial_n \tilde{u}_\varepsilon - \nu \tilde{u}_\varepsilon = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma, \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$F(x) = f(x) - b_1^\varepsilon [\Delta, \chi(x_1)] e^{-i(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2} x_1 - \nu x_2} - b_2^\varepsilon [\Delta, \chi(-x_1)] e^{i(\nu^2 - i\varepsilon)^{1/2} x_1 - \nu x_2}, \tag{A.5}$$

and $[A, B] = AB - BA$ is a commutator.

Clearly via applying Cauchy-Schwartz inequality to (A.1) and (A.2), we have the estimate:

$$\|F\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}}. \tag{A.6}$$

Thus we need to prove the inequality

$$\|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^2} \lesssim \|F\|_{W^0_{\beta,\gamma}}. \tag{A.7}$$

We are going to apply method of projections to (A.3), (A.4). This method, in the context of linear water waves, probably goes back to [2]. To this end we represent $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ as

$$\tilde{u}(x_1, x_2) = w_1(x_1) e^{-\nu x_2} + w_2(x_1, x_2), \tag{A.8}$$

where

$$\int_0^\infty w_2(x_1, x_2) e^{-\nu x_2} dx_2 = 0, \ \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.9}$$

Obviously, by the construction of w_1 as a projection of $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$, we have the estimates

$$\|e^{\beta^* \langle x_1 \rangle} w_1\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} \le c \|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{W}^2_{\beta^*,1}}, \quad \|w_2\|_{W^2_{\beta^*,1}} \le c \|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{W^2_{\beta^*,1}}. \tag{A.10}$$

Similarly we represent F as

$$F(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1) e^{-\nu x_2} + f_2(x_1, x_2), \quad \int_0^\infty f_2(x_1, x_2) e^{-\nu x_2} dx_2 = 0, \ x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{A.11}$$

with estimates

$$\|e^{\beta \langle x_1 \rangle} \langle x_1 \rangle f_1\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})} \le c \|F\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}}, \quad \|f_2\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}} \le c \|F\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}}. \tag{A.12}$$

Then we get by direct inspection decoupled problems for w_1 and w_2:

$$\partial^2_{x_1} w_1(x_1) + (\nu^2 - i\varepsilon) w_1(x_1) = f_1(x_1), \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{A.13}$$

and

$$\Delta w_2 - i\varepsilon w_2 = f_2, \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2_+, \tag{A.14}$$

$$\partial_n w_2 - \nu w_2 = 0, \text{ on } \Gamma. \tag{A.15}$$

Below we demonstrate that both $w_1 e^{-\nu x_2}$ and w_2 satisfy the estimate (A.7), but due to different reasons.

The estimate for w_2 follows from the next lemma, which we prove under less restrictive conditions on f.

Lemma A.1. *Let* $(x_2+1)f_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)$ *and solution* w_2 *of the boundary value problem* (A.14), (A.15) *satisfies* (A.9). *Then*

$$\|w_2\|^2_{\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} \le c\frac{1+\nu^2}{\nu^2}\|(x_2+1)f_2\|^2_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)}, \tag{A.16}$$

where c *does not depend on* ε.

Proof. Let us write down the "energy" identity for the problem (A.14), (A.15):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla w_2|^2 dx - \nu \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |w_2(x_1,0)|^2 dx_1 = -\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} f_2 \overline{w_2} dx. \tag{A.17}$$

Since w_2 satisfies (A.9) we have:

$$2\nu |w_2(x_1,0)|^2 \le \int_0^{+\infty} |\partial_{x_2} w_2(x_1,x_2)|^2 dx_2. \tag{A.18}$$

Now we deduce from (A.18) and (A.17)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla w_2|^2 dx \le -2\mathrm{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} f_2 \overline{w_2} dx. \tag{A.19}$$

In order to estimate right hand side of (A.19) we employ the Hardy type inequality, cf e.g. [49],

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (x_2+1)^{-2}|v|^2 dx_2 \le c\left(\int_0^{+\infty} |\partial_{x_2} v|^2 dx_2 + |v(0)|^2\right). \tag{A.20}$$

It follows from (A.19) (A.20) and (A.18) that, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla w_2|^2 dx &\le \delta^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} (x_2+1)^2 |f|^2 dx + \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} (x_2+1)^{-2}|w_2|^2 dx \le \\ &\delta^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} (x_2+1)^2|f|^2 dx + \delta c\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\partial_{x_2} w_2|^2 dx_2 + \delta c \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |w_2(x_1,0)|^2 dx_1 \le \\ &\delta^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} (x_2+1)^2|f|^2 dx + \delta c\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\partial_{x_2} w_2|^2 dx_2 + \frac{\delta c}{2\nu}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\partial_{x_2} w_2|^2 dx.\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

This implies,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} |\nabla w_2|^2 dx \le c\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} (x_2+1)^2 |f_2|^2 dx, \tag{A.22}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |w_2(x_1,0)|^2 dx_1 \le c\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+} (x_2+1)^2|f_2|^2 dx, \tag{A.23}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(x_2+1)^{-2}|w_2|^2dx \le c\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(x_2+1)^2|f_2|^2dx. \tag{A.24}$$

¿From the boundary value problem (A.14), (A.15) we further have, using standard elliptic estimates and suitable cut-off functions,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}|\nabla^2 w_2|^2dx \le c\int_{\mathbb{R}^2_+}(x_2+1)^2|f_2|^2dx. \tag{A.25}$$

The estimates (A.22), (A.24) and (A.25) imply (A.16). ☐

The estimate for $w_1e^{-\nu x_2}$ is ensured by the following lemma, which we formulate in a self-contained form.

Lemma A.2. *Consider one-dimensional Schrödinger equation, cf* (A.13)*,*

$$\partial_t^2u(t)+(\nu^2-i\varepsilon)u(t)=f(t), \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{A.26}$$

with absorption $\varepsilon\in\mathbb{R}$, $|\varepsilon|<1$, *and rapidly decaying right hand side* f. *More precisely we assume that* $e^{\delta\langle t\rangle}f\in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ *for some* $\delta>\frac{|\varepsilon|}{2\nu}$, $\langle t\rangle=(t^2+1)^{1/2}$. *If additionally* $e^{\delta\langle t\rangle}u\in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ *then, with constant* c *independent of* ν *and* ε,

$$\frac{\nu}{1+\nu^2}\|u_{tt}\|_{L_2}+\|u_t\|_{L_2}+\nu\|u\|_{L_2}\le c\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f|^2\langle t\rangle^2e^{\frac{|\varepsilon|}{\nu}\langle t\rangle}dt\right)^{1/2}. \tag{A.27}$$

Proof. Let us scalar multiply (A.26) by $te^{\alpha|t|}u_t$, where $\alpha=\frac{|\varepsilon|}{\nu}$, and integrate by parts. We have

$$-2\mathrm{Re}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}f(t)te^{\alpha|t|}\overline{u_t}dt=$$

$$-2\mathrm{Re}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\partial_t^2ute^{\alpha|t|}\overline{u_t}dt-2\mathrm{Re}\left[(\nu^2-i\varepsilon)\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}ute^{\alpha|t|}\overline{u_t}dt\right]$$

$$=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(te^{\alpha|t|}\right)_t|u_t|^2dt+\nu^2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(te^{\alpha|t|}\right)_t|u|^2tdt+2\mathrm{Re}\left[i\varepsilon\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}ute^{\alpha|t|}\overline{u_t}dt\right]=$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{\alpha|t|}\left(1+\alpha|t|\right)|u_t|^2dt+\nu^2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{\alpha|t|}\left(1+\alpha|t|\right)|u|^2dt+2\mathrm{Re}\left[i\varepsilon\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}te^{\alpha|t|}u\overline{u_t}dt\right]$$

$$=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{\alpha|t|}|u_t|^2dt+\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{\alpha}{|t|}e^{\alpha|t|}\left|tu_t+i\frac{\varepsilon}{\alpha}|t|u\right|^2dt+\nu^2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{\alpha|t|}\left|u\right|^2dt.$$

From the above we conclude

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{\alpha|t|}|u_t|^2dt\le 4\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f|^2t^2e^{\alpha|t|}dt, \tag{A.28}$$

and

$$\nu^2\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}e^{\alpha|t|}\left|u\right|^2dt\le\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f|^2t^2e^{\alpha|t|}dt. \tag{A.29}$$

Second derivatives can be estimated directly via equation (A.26) and we obtain

$$\|u_{tt}e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}|t|}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}\le\frac{\nu^2+|\varepsilon|}{\nu}\|tfe^{\frac{\alpha}{2}|t|}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}+\|fe^{\frac{\alpha}{2}|t|}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{A.30}$$

Combining (A.28), (A.29) and (A.30) we finally obtain (A.27). ☐

Finally we obtain the estimate for $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$. From (A.8),(A.27),(A.16) and (A.12) we have

(A.31)

$$\|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{\dot{H}^2} \leq \|w_1 e^{-\nu x_2}\|_{\dot{H}^2} + \|w_2\|_{\dot{H}^2} \leq c\|f\langle x_1\rangle e^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2\nu}\langle x_1\rangle}\|_{L_2} + c\|\langle x_2\rangle f_2\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2_+)} \lesssim \|F\|_{W^0_{\beta,1}}.$$

Now estimate (A.6) delivers the desired result (2.14).

REFERENCES

[1] John, F., On the motion of floating bodies. I. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 2 (1949) 13–57.

[2] John, F., On the motion of floating bodies. II. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 3 (1950) 45–101.

[3] Maz'ya, V. G. Solvability of the problem on the oscillations of a fluid containing a submerged body. J. Soviet Math., 10, (1978) 86–89.

[4] Linton, C. M., Kuznetsov, N. G., Non-uniqueness in two-dimensional water wave problems: numerical evidence and geometrical restrictions. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 453 (1997) 2437–2460.

[5] Cardone, G.; Durante, T.; Nazarov, S. Water-waves modes trapped in a canal by a near-surface rough body. ZAMM Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 90 (2010), no. 12, 983–1004.

[6] Nazarov, S. A. Concentration of trapped modes in problems of the linear theory of waves on the surface of a fluid. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 199 (2008), no. 12, 53–78; translation in Sb. Math. 199 (2008), no. 11–12, 1783–1807.

[7] Nazarov, S. A. On the concentration of the point spectrum on the continuous spectrum in problems of the linear theory of waves on the surface of an ideal fluid. (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 348 (2007), Kraevye Zadachi Matematicheskoi Fiziki i Smezhnye Voprosy Teorii Funktsii. 38, 98–126, 304; translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 152 (2008), no. 5, 674–689.

[8] Linton, C. M., Mclver, P., Handbook of Mathematical Techniques for Wave/ Structure Interactions. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2001.

[9] Nazarov, S. A.; Taskinen, Ja. On essential and continuous spectra of the linearized water-wave problem in a finite pond. Math. Scand. 106 (2010), no. 1, 141–160.

[10] Nazarov, S. A. A simple method for finding trapped modes in problems of the linear theory of surface waves. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk 429 (2009), no. 6, 746–749; translation in Dokl. Math. 80 (2009), no. 3, 914–917

[11] Nazarov, S. A. Sufficient conditions for the existence of trapped modes in problems of the linear theory of surface waves. (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 369 (2009), Matematicheskie Voprosy Teorii Rasprostraneniya Voln. 38, 202–223

[12] Nazarov S. A., Localization of surface waves by small perturbations of the boundary of a semisubmerged body, Sib. Zh. Ind. Mat., 14:1 (2011), 93-101.

[13] Kuznetsov, N.; Maz'ya, V.; Vainberg, B. Linear water waves. (English summary) A mathematical approach. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. 513 pp.

[14] Maz'ya, V. G.; Plamenevskii, B. A. Estimates in L_p and in Hölder classes, and the Miranda-Agmon maximum principle for the solutions of elliptic boundary value problems in domains with singular points on the boundary. (Russian) Math. Nachr. 81 (1978), 25–82. Engl. transl. in: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Vol.123 (1984) 1–56.

[15] Kozlov, V. A.; Maz'ya, V. G.; Rossmann, J. Elliptic boundary value problems in domains with point singularities. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 52. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[16] Veržbinskii, G. M.; Maz'ya, V. G. The asymptotics of solutions of the Dirichlet problem near a non-regular frontier. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 176 1967 498–501.

[17] Ibuki, K. Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations of the second order in a singular domain of R^2. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 14 (1974), 55–71.

[18] Veržbinskii, G. M.; Maz'ya, V. G. Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of second order elliptic equations near the boundary. I. (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 12 1971 1217–1249.

[19] Veržbinskii, G. M.; Maz'ya, V. G. Asymptotic behavior of the solutions of second order elliptic equations near the boundary. II. (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 13 (1972), 1239–1271.

[20] Khelif, A. Problèmes aux limites pour le laplacien dans un domaine à points cuspides. (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B 287 (1978), no. 16, A1113-A1116.

[21] Steux, J.-L. Problème de Dirichlet pour un opérateur elliptique dans un domaine à point cuspide. (French) [Dirichlet problem for the Laplacian in domains with cusp on the boundary] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 306 (1988), no. 19, 773–776.

[22] Maz'ya, V. G.; Poborchi, S. V. Boundary traces of functions from Sobolev spaces on a domain with a cusp [translation of Trudy Inst. Mat. (Novosibirsk) 14 (1989), Sovrem. Probl. Geom. Analiz., 182–208;]. Siberian Advances in Mathematics. Siberian Adv. Math. 1 (1991), no. 3, 75–107.

[23] Zenisek, A. Variational problems in domains with cusp points. Proceedings of ISNA '92 International Symposium on Numerical Analysis, Part I (Prague, 1992). Appl. Math. 38 (1993), no. 4-5, 381–403.

[24] Fukushima, M; Tomisaki, M. Reflecting diffusions on Lipschitz domains with cusps–analytic construction and Skorohod representation. Potential theory and degenerate partial differential operators (Parma). Potential Anal. 4 (1995), no. 4, 377–408.

[25] Grisvard, P. Problèmes aux limites dans des domaines avec points de rebroussement. (French) [Boundary value problems in domains with cusps] Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 4 (1995), no. 3, 561–578.

[26] Grisvard, P. Problèmes aux limites dans des domaines avec points de rebroussement. (French) [Boundary value problems in domains with cusps] Partial differential equations and functional analysis, 1–17, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 22, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.

[27] Dauge, M. Strongly elliptic problems near cuspidal points and edges. Partial differential equations and functional analysis, 9317110, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 22, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996.

[28] Steux, J.-L. Problème de Dirichlet pour un opérateur elliptique dans un domaine à point cuspide. (French.) [Dirichlet problem for an elliptic operator in a domain with cusp] Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 6 (1997), no. 1, 143–175.

[29] Maz'ya, V. G.; Poborchi, S. V. Differentiable functions on bad domains. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997, 481 pp.

[30] Maz'ya, V. G.; Poborchi, S. V. On solvability of the Neumann problem in an energy space for a domain with peak. Georgian Math. J. 14 (2007), no. 3, 499–518.

[31] Nazarov S.A., Taskinen J. On the spectrum of the Steklov problem in a domain with a peak. Vestnik St.-Petersburg Univ. 2008. N 1 (Iss. 1). P. 56–65. (English transl.: Vestnik St.-Petersburg Univ. Math. 2008. V. 41, N 1. P. 45–52).

[32] Bakharev, F. L.; Nazarov, S. A. On the structure of the spectrum of a problem in the theory of elasticity for a body with a supersharp peak. (Russian.) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 50 (2009), no. 4, 746–756; translation in Sib. Math. J. 50 (2009), no. 4, 587–595.

[33] Nazarov S.A. The spectrum of the elasticity problem for a spiked body. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 2008. V. 49, N. 5. P. 1105–1127. (English transl.: Siberian Math. J. 2008. V. 49, N. 5. P. 874–893).

[34] Nazarov, S. A. On the spectrum of the Steklov problem in peak-shaped domains. Proceedings of the St. Petersburg Mathematical Society. Vol. XIV, 79–131, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 228, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[35] Nazarov S.A. On the essential spectrum of boundary value problems for systems of differential equations in a bounded peak-shaped domain. Funkt. Anal. i Prilozhen. 2009. V. 43, N 1. P. 55–67. English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. 2009. V. 43, N 1.

[36] Cardone G., Nazarov S.A., Taskinen J. A criterion for the existence of the essential spectrum for beak-shaped elastic bodies. J. Math. Pures Appl. 2009. V. 92, N. 6. P. 628–650.

[37] Kamotski, I.V., and Maz'ya, V.G. On the third boundary value problem in domains with cusps. Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Volume 173, Number 5, 2011 , pp. 609–631.

[38] Nazarov S. A., Taskinen Ya. On the Spectrum of the Robin Problem in a Domain with a Peak Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., 45:1 (2011), 93–96

[39] Nazarov S. A.; Taskinen Ja. Radiation conditions at the top of a rotational cusp in the theory of water-waves. ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis, 45 (2011), 947-979.

[40] Maz'ya, V. G.; Soloviev, A. A. Boundary integral equations on contours with peaks. Translated into English and edited by Tatyana Shaposhnikova. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, 196. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2010.

[41] Taylor, M. Partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, New York; 1996.

[42] Sanchez-Palencia, E. Non-homogeneous media and vibration theory. Lecture Notes in Physics, 127. Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer- Verlag. IX, 398 p.

[43] Nazarov, S. A.; Plamenevsky, B. A. Elliptic problems in domains with piecewise smooth boundaries. de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 13. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994. 525 pp.

[44] Maz'ya, V. G. Prostranstva S. L. Soboleva. (Russian) [Sobolev spaces] Leningrad. Univ., Leningrad, 1985. 416 pp.

[45] Hulme, A., Some applications of Maz'ja's uniqueness theorem to a class of linear water wave problems. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 95 (1984) 511–519.

[46] Kamotskii, I. V.; Nazarov, S. A. Wood's anomalies and surface waves in the problem of scattering by a periodic boundary. I. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 190 (1999), no. 1, 109–138; translation in Sb. Math. 190 (1999), no. 1–2, 111–141.

[47] Kamotskii, I. V.; Nazarov, S. A. Wood's anomalies and surface waves in the problem of scattering by a periodic boundary. II. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 190 (1999), no. 2, 43–70; translation in Sb. Math. 190 (1999), no. 1–2, 205–231.

[48] Kamotskii, I. V.; Nazarov, S. A. An augmented scattering matrix and exponentially decreasing solutions of an elliptic problem in a cylindrical domain. (Russian) Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 264 (2000), Mat. Vopr. Teor. Rasprostr. Voln. 29, 66–82, 322–323; translation in J. Math. Sci. (New York) 111 (2002), no. 4, 3657–3666.

[49] Hardy, G. H.; Littlewood, J. E.; Polya, G. Inequalities. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press. 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON, GOWER STREET, LONDON, WC1E 6BT
E-mail address: `i.kamotski@ucl.ac.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY, SE-581 83 LINKÖPING, SWEDEN
E-mail address: `vlmaz@mai.liu.se`